UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,789	11/14/2003	Alastair James Buchanan	1-24912	8772
	7590 06/14/200 , SOBANSKI & TODI	EXAMINER		
ONE MARITIME PLAZA - FOURTH FLOOR			MANCHO, RONNIE M	
720 WATER STREET TOLEDO, OH 43604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/713,789	BUCHANAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The minimum of the definition appears on the devel enter the direct of the developeratine address
THE REPLY FILED 29 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the followin time periods:
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Sinc a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
13. ⊠ Other: IDS dated 11/30/06.

SUPERVISORY PARENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant has submitted no formal amendments to the claims and contends that the 112 rejection to claim 2 is improper. Applicant's specifically argues that the phrase, "is projected to be" describes the lane in question. The examiner disdagrees and notes that applicant's quotation leaves out the word "in" in the claimed, "is projected to be in". The phrase "is projected to be in" is believed to refer to the host vehicle. But the phrase, "when at the point of the target vehicle" confuses the acope of the claim because "the point of the target vehicle" is not defined. Applicant's contension that the phrase, "when at the point of the target vehicle" refers to conditions for the projection is traversed. There is nothing in the claims that suggest or disclose that the argued phrase refers to conditions for the projection. If the host vehicle is assumend to be at "the point of the target vehicle", then the host vehicle will hit, collide, or bump into the target vehicle. This will defeat the purpose of applicant's invention as applicant's specification makes reference to cruise control systems known to avoid collisions. What applicant seems to be explaining in the arguments does not correspond to what is claimed. As an example the argued phrase, "where it has travelled as far an the target vehicle is currently away from the host vehicle" is not in the claims nor even suggested in the claims.

Applicant proposes to amend the claims, but has no yet submitted a formal amendment. It is noted that upon filing a formal amendment as proposed, the amendment will require further consideration beyond the courtesy of an after final rejection.

Applicant further argues that the 102 rejection is traversed on the same grounds already presented in the last office action. The examiner believes the rejection is proper and stands as already exaplained in the final rejection.

Applicant further argues on one hand that the prior art does not disclose 'prediction of a lane" and on the other hand argues that the prior art estimates a projected path, wherein the path is projected ahead of the vehicle. Applicant actually admits that in the prior art, a processor determines that a vehicle WILL travel in a new lane. The term "will" applies to future events. Therefore, applicant's arguments are conflicting.

The rejections are believed to be proper and stand.